

Defendant ZIMMER BIOMET HOLDINGS, INC. ("ZBH") and Defendants
BIOMET, INC., BIOMET MANUFACTURING, LLC., BIOMET ORTHOPEDICS,
LLC, and BIOMET U.S. RECONSTRUCTION, LLC ("Biomet") by and through their
undersigned counsel, respectfully move the Court for a short, 10-day extension to submit
their reply briefs in support of their respective Motions for Summary Judgment, submitted
to the Court on June 21, 2021 (ECF Nos. 272, 273). ZBH and Biomet respectfully make
this Motion on order shortening time pursuant to LR IA 6-1(d). Given that Plaintiffs'
opposition briefs were filed July 12, 2021, and ZBH's and Biomet's deadline to submit
reply briefs are one week away, on July 26, 2021, an expedited ruling is necessary to
inform ZBH and Biomet on the appropriate timeline to prepare and coordinate their reply
brief submissions. Declaration of Theodore O'Reilly ("O'Reilly Decl."), ¶ 3. If this
Motion were to be decided on a standard briefing timeline, this Motion would be moot.
Id.

Biomet's and ZBH's counsel attempted to confer with Plaintiffs' counsel on this matter, but Plaintiffs' counsel refused to stipulate to this extension without imposing conditions on Biomet and ZBH that are unrelated to the pending summary judgment motions. Good cause exists to extend the reply deadlines for the following reasons:

- 1. On July 9, 2021, Plaintiffs moved the Court for permission to file an overlength response to Biomet's motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 286.)
- 2. Biomet did not and does not oppose Plaintiffs' request to file an overlength brief.
- 3. On July 12, 2021 Plaintiffs filed their oppositions in response to Biomet's and ZBH's motions for summary judgment. (ECF Nos. 289, 291).
  - 4. Plaintiffs' opposition to Biomet's motion is 40 pages long.
  - 5. Plaintiffs' opposition to ZBH's motion is 26 pages long.
  - 6. Between them, the two opposition briefs purport to rely on over 40 exhibits.
- 7. Currently, Biomet's and ZBH's replies in support of their motions for summary judgment are due July 26, 2021.

1

2

3

- 8. In light of Plaintiffs' overlength opposition to Biomet's motion for summary judgment and as a professional courtesy, on July 16, 2021, Biomet's and ZBH's counsel requested that Plaintiffs' counsel stipulate to extend Biomet's and ZBH's reply deadlines from July 26 to August 5, 2021.
- 9. Plaintiffs' counsel refused to agree unconditionally. Instead, Plaintiffs' counsel stated they would agree only if Biomet withdrew its pending motion for sanctions. (see ECF Nos. 209 and 251), which is unrelated to Biomet's and ZBH's request, and an improper and irrelevant basis on which to oppose Biomet's and ZBH's request.
- 10. Biomet's and ZBH's requested extension would not prejudice Plaintiffs because the Court has not set a hearing date for the motions for summary judgment.
  - 11. Likewise, the Court has not set a trial date in this matter.
  - 12. This is the first such extension that Biomet and ZBH have requested. *Id.* at ¶
  - 13. This request is not made for the purpose of undue delay. *Id.* at  $\P 5$ .

For the foregoing reasons, good cause exists to extend Biomet's and ZBH's dates by which to file their replies in support of their motions for summary judgment up to and including August 5, 2021.

## **ORDER**

4.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ROBERT / JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: July 20, 2021.

28